

From: **Hazel**

Date: Mon, 12 Oct 2020 at 00:19

Subject: Response to Paul Woods' email dated 9th September 2020 re letter from Mr Roger Clews.

To: <copseyandrea@gmail.com>

Dear Andrea Copsey

I am responding to Inspector Mr Roger Clews' letter regarding the Section 1 Local Plan. I read Mr Clews' letter with interest and was eager to respond to the questions asked in that letter: a) whether the publication of the 2018-based household projections represents a meaningful change in the housing situation that existed when he produced his letter of 27/6/2018 and b) if so, what are the implications of that change for the soundness of the housing requirement figures in the submitted Section 1 plan.

I did attempt to read the documents referred to in his letter by following the link provided, which led me to the Braintree District Council website. It would have been of great help if one could have found those documents by inputting the various document reference numbers (e.g. IED/024) in the 'Search' box on that site, but that merely returned the message 'Sorry, no results were found'. I spent hours trying to find all of these documents but gave up in despair, eventually, after finding just a few, including the Examination Hearing Statement - Further Response to Matter 3 - Housing Requirement. In this, Bloor Homes & City & Country argue against the NEA Response that there had been NO meaningful change since June 2018 regarding housing need in North Essex. It was quite obvious (and not surprising, given the potential for profits for them that greater housing need represents) that they felt that housing need for this area had been understated. On the basis of this and the few documents I did manage to find which mentioned also Scott Properties and Lightwood Strategic, who I am convinced all have a vested interest in arguing for the need for more houses in North Essex, I wish to state that I do NOT consider that the publication of the 2018-based household projections represents a meaningful change in the housing situation that existed when Mr Clews produced his letter of 27 June 2018. Therefore question b does not require a response.

I note that, in the Tendring area, the 2018-based household projections are for a more than 25% increase in the number of households by 2037 compared with 2013. Tendring attracts many pensioners, who wish to be near the sea and lovely beaches this area provides. I hope that the Council planners who will allow that huge increase in homes in this area will also pay attention to planning for the extra GP surgeries, hospital facilities and social care that will be needed in this area by 2037.

Yours sincerely

Mrs Hazel Martin
Frinton,
Essex

Sent from my iPad